UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN DIVISION

Case No.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

Priscilla Sterling, Raine Becker, Shawn Miller, and John Bennett, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs.

v.

The City of Jackson, Mississippi; Chowke A. Lumumba; Tony Yarber; Kishia Powell; Robert Miller; Jerriott Smash; and Trilogy Engineering Services, LLC,

Defendants.

DEFENDANT, TRILOGY ENGINEERING SERVICES, LLC'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND MONEY DAMAGES WITH JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Defendant, Trilogy Engineering Services, LLC ('Trilogy"), by and through undersigned counsel, hereby files its Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiffs' Amended Class Action Complaint for Injunctive Relief and Money Damages with Jury Trial Demand (the "Complaint") as follows:

INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

- 1. This paragraph sets forth legal conclusions and questions of law to which no response is required.
 - 2. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 3. Denied.

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

Plaintiffs asserted claims for professional negligence and simple negligence against
 Trilogy. Otherwise, denied.

JURISDICTION

- 5. Plaintiffs initiated this civil action, invoking 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Otherwise, denied.
- 6. Trilogy is a citizen of the State of Texas, otherwise denied.
- 7. Denied.
- 8. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 9. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 10. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 11. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 12. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 13. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 14. Trilogy maintains an office in Jackson, Mississippi, and is subject to the personal jurisdiction of the Southern District of Mississippi. Otherwise, denied.
 - 15. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 16. Trilogy does not contest venue. Otherwise, denied.

PARTIES

- 17. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 18. Denied.
- 19. Denied.
- 20. Except to admit that Jackson is a Mississippi municipality and the capital of Mississippi, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 21. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

- 22. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 23. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 24. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 25. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 26. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 27. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 28. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 29. Trilogy is a Texas LLC registered as a foreign LLC in Mississippi.
- 30. Admitted.
- 31. Trilogy has experience in design, managing, and overseeing construction of drinking water and wastewater (reuse water) projects, and provides drinking water design services, including water treatment plans operations, distribution waterline, and lead and copper corrosion control studies. Otherwise, denied.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- I. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 32. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 33. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 34. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 35. Upon information and belief, the City of Jackson water distribution system consists of two treatment plants, the O.B. Curtis and the J.H. Fewell. Otherwise, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 36. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 37. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

- 38. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 39. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 40. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 41. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 42. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

II. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

- A. To the extent a response is required, denied.
- 43. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 44. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 45. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 46. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 47. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 48. Denied.
- 49. Denied.
- 50. Denied.
- 51. Denied.
- 52. Denied.
- 53. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 54. Denied.
- 55. Denied.
- 56. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 57. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 58. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

- 59. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 60. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 61. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 62. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 63. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 64. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 65. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 66. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 67. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 68. Lime may be used as a part of corrosion control. Otherwise, denied.
- 69. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 70. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 71. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 72. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- B. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 73. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 74. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 75. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 76. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 77. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 78. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 79. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

C. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

- 80. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 81. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 82. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 83. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 84. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 85. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 86. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 87. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 88. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 89. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 90. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 91. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 92. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 93. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 94. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 95. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 96. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 97. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 98. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 99. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 100. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 101. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

- 102. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 103. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 104. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 105. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 106. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 107. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 108. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 109. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 110. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 111. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 112. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 113. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 114. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 115. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 116. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 117. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- D. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 118. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 119. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 120. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 121. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 122. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 123. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

- 124. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 125. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 126. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 127. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 128. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 129. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 130. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 131. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 132. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 133. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 134. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 135. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 136. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 137. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 138. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- E. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 139. Upon information and belief, water testing was performed in June 2015. Otherwise, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 140. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 141. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 142. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 143. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 144. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

- 145. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 146. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- F. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 147. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 148. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 149. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 150. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 151. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 152. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 153. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 154. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 155. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 156. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 157. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 158. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 159. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 160. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 161. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 162. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 163. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 164. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 165. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 166. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

- 167. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 168. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 169. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 170. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 171. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- G. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 172. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 173. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 174. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 175. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 176. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 177. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 178. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 179. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 180. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 181. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 182. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 183. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 184. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 185. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 186. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

- H. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 187. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 188. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 189. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 190. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 191. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 192. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- I. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 1. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 193. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 194. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 2. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 195. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 196. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 197. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 198. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 3. Admitted to the extent that Trilogy was retained by the City of Jackson, otherwise denied.
- 199. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 200. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 201. Admitted that Trilogy was retained by the City of Jackson to conduct a corrosion control study. Otherwise, denied.

- 202. Denied as phrased.
- 203. Admitted.
- 204. Trilogy's Motion to Strike Paragraph 204 from Plaintiffs' Class Action Complaint is filed in conjunction with this Answer and Affirmative Defenses and is pending with the Court. Nonetheless, to the extent a response is required, denied.
 - 205. Denied as phrased.
- 206. Trilogy was retained to conduct, among other things, a corrosion control study. Otherwise, denied.
 - 207. Denied as phrased.
 - 208. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 209. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 210. Denied.
 - 211. Denied.
 - 212. Denied as phrased.
- 213. The use of soda ash was one of Trilogy's recommendations to the City of Jackson.

 Otherwise, denied.
 - 214. Denied as phrased.
 - 215. Denied.
 - 216. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 217. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 218. Denied.
 - 219. Denied.
 - 220. Denied.

- 221. Denied.
- 222. Denied.
- 223. Denied.
- 224. Denied.
- 225. Denied as phrased.
- 226. Denied.
- 227. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- J. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 228. Denied.
- 229. Denied as to the first sentence. Otherwise, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 230. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 231. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 232. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 233. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 234. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 235. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 236. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 237. Denied.
 - 238. Denied.
 - 239. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 240. Denied.
 - 241. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

- 242. Denied.
- 243. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 244. Denied.

III. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

- A. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 245. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 246. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 247. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 248. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 249. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 250. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 251. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 252. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 253. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 254. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 255. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 256. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 257. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 258. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 259. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 260. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 261. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

IV. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

- A. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 262. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 262. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 264. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 265. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 266. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 267. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 268. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 269. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 270. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 271. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 272. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 273. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 274. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 275. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 276. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- B. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 277. Denied as to Trilogy, otherwise without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 278. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 279. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

- 280. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 281. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 282. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 283. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 284. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 285. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 286. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 287. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 288. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 289. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

V. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

- A. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 290. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 291. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 292. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 293. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 294. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 295. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 296. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 297. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 298. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 299. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

- 300. Denied.
- 301. Denied.
- 302. Denied.
- B. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 303. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 304. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 305. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 306. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 307. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 308. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 309. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 310. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 311. Denied.
- 312. Denied.
- 313. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 314. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 315. Denied.
- C. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 316. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 317. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 318. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 319. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.

- 320. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 321. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 322. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 323. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 324. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 325. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 326. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 327. Denied.
- 328. Denied.
- 329. Denied.
- D. To the extent a response is required, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 330. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 331. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 332. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 333. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 334. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 335. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 336. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 337. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 338. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 339. Without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
- 340. Denied.
- 341. Denied.

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

342. Denied.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- A. To the extent a response is required, denied.
- 343. Trilogy denies that Paragraph 358 defines any class consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, denies any such class exists, denies the named plaintiffs would adequately represent any such class if it did exist, and denies certification of any class under Rule 23 would be proper in this action.
- 344. Trilogy denies that Paragraph 359 defines any class consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, denies any such class exists, denies the named plaintiffs would adequately represent any such class if it did exist, and denies certification of any class under Rule 23 would be proper in this action.
- 345. Trilogy denies that Paragraph 360 defines any class consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, denies any such class exists, denies the named plaintiffs would adequately represent any such class if it did exist, and denies certification of any class under Rule 23 would be proper in this action.
- 346. Trilogy denies that Paragraph 361 defines any class consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, denies any such class exists, denies the named plaintiffs would adequately represent any such class if it did exist, and denies certification of any class under Rule 23 would be proper in this action. Nonetheless, should the Plaintiffs seek leave of Court to amend the Class definition, including proposing subclasses of Plaintiffs, Trilogy expressly reserves the right to respond to the revised class definition.
 - B. To the extent a response is required, denied.
 - 347. Plaintiffs initiated this action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23. Otherwise, denied.

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

348. Trilogy is without sufficient knowledge or information to respond to the first sentence of Paragraph 363. Otherwise, denied.

- 349. Denied.
- 350. Denied.
- 351. Denied as to the first sentence. Otherwise, without sufficient knowledge or information, and therefore denied.
 - 352. Denied.
 - 353. Denied.
 - 354. Denied.
 - 355. Denied.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

COUNT I

- 356. Trilogy denies there is a Class. Trilogy reasserts and incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1-355 as if fully set forth herein.
- 357-364. Count I of the Plaintiffs' Amended Class Action Complaint does not relate to Trilogy, and therefore no response is provided. However, to the extent that any allegations in Count I may require a response from Trilogy, those allegations are specifically denied.

COUNT II

- 365. Trilogy denies there is a Class. Trilogy reasserts and incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1-355 as if fully set forth herein.
- 366-375. Count II of the Plaintiffs' Amended Class Action Complaint does not relate to Trilogy, and therefore no response is provided. However, to the extent that any allegations in Count II may require a response from Trilogy, those allegations are specifically denied.

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

COUNT III

376. Trilogy denies there is a Class. Trilogy reasserts and incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1-355 as if fully set forth herein.

- 377. Admitted that Trilogy was retained by the City of Jackson. Otherwise, denied.
- 378. Denied.
- 379. Denied.
- 380. Denied.
- 381. Denied.
- 382. Denied.
- 383. Denied.
- 384. Denied.
- 385. Denied.
- 386. Denied.
- 387. Denied.
- 388. Denied.

COUNT IV

- 389. Trilogy denies there is a Class. Trilogy reasserts and incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1-355 as if fully set forth herein.
 - 390. Admitted that Trilogy was retained by the City of Jackson. Otherwise, denied.
 - 391. Denied.
 - 392. Denied.
 - 393. Denied.
 - 394. Denied.

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

395. Denied.

396. Denied.

397. Denied.

398. Denied.

399. Denied.

400. Denied.

COUNT V

401. Trilogy denies there is a Class. Trilogy reasserts and incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1-355 as if fully set forth herein.

402-420. Count V of the Plaintiffs' Amended Class Action Complaint does not relate to Trilogy, and therefore no response is provided. However, to the extent that any allegations in Count V may require a response from Trilogy, those allegations are specifically denied.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

421. Trilogy demands trial by jury in this action of all issues so triable.

RELIEF REQUESTED

422. Denied, in toto, specifically denying Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief from Trilogy.

Case 3:22-cv-00531-KHJ-MTP Document 60 Filed 06/29/23 Page 23 of 35

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Trilogy asserts the following Affirmative Defenses to the purported causes of action in the Complaint, without conceding that they bear the burden of proof or persuasion as to any one of them, as follows:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its First Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that Plaintiffs fail to state a claim against Trilogy upon which relief can be granted, and their claims should be dismissed under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6).

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Second Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction. Standing is an element of subject matter jurisdiction. Standing analysis proceeds plaintiff-by-plaintiff and claim by claim. *See, e.g., In re Gee*, 941 F.3d 153, 171 (5th Cir. 2019). Plaintiffs cannot show that each has suffered a concrete injury that is fairly traceable to Trilogy's actions. Plaintiffs fail to particularize where they lived in Jackson, MS, when, and for how long. These facts are necessary to establish standing.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Third Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that at all times material to the allegations set forth in Plaintiffs' Amended Class Action Complaint, Trilogy exercised ordinary professional skill and diligence in providing its services.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Fourth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that it did not owe any duty to Plaintiffs.

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Fifth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that it is not responsible for Plaintiffs' damages, if any, to the extent they were caused, in whole or in part, by other entities or persons not affiliated with Trilogy. Mississippi law requires that fault be apportioned among joint tortfeasors, including those immune from suit or not named as defendants, absent a conscious and deliberate pursuit of a common plan to commit a tortious act. To the extent Trilogy is at fault, which is expressly denied, fault should be allocated to Trilogy in direct proportion to its percentage of fault, taking into account the percentage of fault borne by other parties. Trilogy is entitled to an apportionment of fault for parties and/or non-parties who contributed, including but not limited to:

- 1. Cornerstone Engineering LLC;
- 2. Sodimate, Inc.;
- 3. RDP Technologies, Inc.;
- 4. Mississippi State Department of Health;
- 5. Jim Craig;
- 6. Siemens Corporation;
- 7. Siemens Industry, Inc.

Trilogy reserves the right to amend its Affirmative Defenses to identify additional nonparties whose acts or omissions proximately caused Plaintiffs' damages, as discovery is ongoing which may reveal new information.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Sixth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that Plaintiffs' alleged injuries and damages, if any, were caused by the intervening acts of third parties severing the chain of causation between the actions and/or inactions complained of by the Plaintiffs and the alleged injuries. Trilogy states that any alleged conduct or omission by Trilogy was not the cause in fact or the legal cause of the alleged damages suffered by the Plaintiffs.

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Seventh Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that the actions and/or omissions of any

party(ies) to this action were the contributing and/or proximate cause of the damages alleged by

the Plaintiffs, and thus Plaintiffs are barred from recovery from Trilogy, or ultimately, any

damages complained of must be reduced in accordance with the doctrine of comparative

negligence.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Eighth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that Trilogy is not vicariously liable to

Plaintiffs for errors and omissions resulting from the performance of products, work performed by

trades on the Project, and/or services provided by other professional consultants licensed in the

State of Mississippi who provided services on the Project outside of those included in Trilogy's

Agreement.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Ninth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that any and all alleged defects and

deficiencies alleged in the Complaint were caused by improper means, methods, techniques,

sequences, procedures, and the overall performance of other entities who performed work on the

Project.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Tenth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that at all times it complied with all

applicable federal, state, and local rules, laws, regulations, and specifications.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Eleventh Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that Plaintiffs' claims against Trilogy

are barred, in whole or in part, by the learned or sophisticated intermediary doctrine.

Case 3:22-cv-00531-KHJ-MTP Document 60 Filed 06/29/23 Page 26 of 35

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Twelfth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that Plaintiffs' claims against Trilogy

fail because Trilogy had no duty to guard against criminal conduct of others that contributed to

cause the Plaintiffs' alleged injuries and damages.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Thirteenth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that certain Plaintiffs may live in

homes with lead paint and/or lead blinds or may have been exposed to lead through sources other

than the City of Jackson's public water supply, which may be a superseding or intervening cause

of any injuries claimed by the Plaintiffs.

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Fourteenth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that because Plaintiffs live in

different areas of the City, with different water service lines, Plaintiffs do not necessarily assert a

right to relief arising out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or

occurrences, and they are misjoined under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 20(a)(1).

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Fifteenth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that it is entitled to a setoff and/or

credit of any benefits received by Plaintiffs from whatever source, including any and all collateral

sources, which relates in any way to the claims asserted in this action.

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Sixteenth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that Plaintiffs failed to mitigate their

damages, if any.

Case 3:22-cv-00531-KHJ-MTP Document 60 Filed 06/29/23 Page 27 of 35

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Seventeenth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that Plaintiffs' alleged injuries

and/or damages, if any, may have resulted solely and/or proximately from underlying and/or pre-

existing health conditions and/or other conditions or circumstances for which Trilogy is not

responsible and for which it has and can have no liability.

EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Eighteenth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that Plaintiffs have failed to exercise

due care for their own safety and that such failure caused or contributed to the injuries and/or

damages alleged herein, and Trilogy hereby invokes the doctrine of contributory negligence and

the provisions and protections of Miss. Code Ann. § 11-7-15 in bar of Plaintiffs' claims.

NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Nineteenth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy invokes all rights and protections afforded

to it under the Mississippi Tort Reform Act of 2004.

TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Twentieth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy invokes all rights and protections afforded

to it under Miss. Code Ann. § 85-5-7.

TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Twenty-First Affirmative defense, Trilogy states that Plaintiffs' claims for punitive

damages are governed and limited by Miss. Code Ann. § 11-1-65, and Trilogy hereby pleads and

invokes the provisions of same, including but not limited to the bifurcation of punitive damages

from other issues in this case.

TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Twenty-Second Affirmative Defense, and while denying that Plaintiffs are entitled to punitive damages, exemplary damages, or extra-contractual damages whatsoever, Trilogy affirmatively states as follows:

- 1. An award of punitive damages in this civil action would amount to a deprivation of property without due process of law in violation of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Section 14 of the Mississippi Constitution.
- 2. No legislation has been enacted authorizing punitive damages in a civil action such as this or placing any limit on the amount of punitive damages awardable and/or in the alternative, the provisions and procedures of Mississippi Code Annotated Section 11-1-65 are hereby invoked, including but not limited to bifurcation.
- 3. the criteria used for determining whether and in what amount punitive damages may be awarded are impermissibly vague, imprecise, and inconsistent, and are, therefore, in violation of the due process provision of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution.
- 4. An award of punitive damages in this civil action would amount to an excessive fine in violation of the Eight Amendment of the Constitution of the United States of America and Section 28 of the Mississippi Constitution.

TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Twenty-Third Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that there is no basis in law or in fact for Plaintiffs to recover any attorneys' fees or expenses of litigation from Trilogy. Trilogy expressly invokes the American Rule against fee shifting.

TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Twenty-Fourth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy relies upon the rights, obligations and defenses as set forth in the agreement between Trilogy and the City of Jackson.

TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Twenty-Fifth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the doctrines of waiver, laches, estoppel, and/or unclean hands.

Case 3:22-cv-00531-KHJ-MTP Document 60 Filed 06/29/23 Page 29 of 35

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

TWENTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Twenty-Sixth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that Plaintiffs' claims are barred

because Plaintiffs' alleged damages, if any, are indirect, too speculative, too remote, cannot be

reasonably ascertained, and/or because of the impossibility of the proof and allocation of these

alleged damages.

TWENTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Twenty-Seventh Affirmative Defense, Trilogy invokes the protections of, and rights

afforded to it by Rule 8(c) of the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure and Rule 8(c) of the Mississippi

Rules of Civil Procedure.

TWENTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Twenty-Eighth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy incorporates the affirmative defenses

asserted by other parties.

TWENTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Twenty-Ninth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that if a Class is certified, then

venue of the action is inappropriate because it will be prohibitively difficult to select a disinterested

and impartial jury in this district composed of people who are neither themselves members of the

class, nor have close relatives or friends who are members of the class, nor have a financial interest

in the outcome of the action, nor have close relatives or friends with a financial interest in the

outcome of the action, nor have personal knowledge of relevant events involved in the action, and

who have not been biased by pervasive and continuing publicity concerning events involved in the

action.

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

THIRTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Thirtieth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that if a Class is certified, any recovery by any Plaintiff who was not a minor during the time relevant events occurred, and by all plaintiffs that are not natural persons, must be reduced to the extent of that Plaintiff's contributory fault.

THIRTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Thirty-First Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that if a Class is certified, and if any fault of Trilogy contributed to cause any injury or loss to any class member — which Trilogy categorically denies — then any liability of Trilogy to that class member must be reduced to the extent they were caused, in whole or in part, by other entities or persons not affiliated with Trilogy. Trilogy is entitled to an apportionment of fault for parties and/or non-parties who contributed, including but not limited to:

- 1. Cornerstone Engineering LLC;
- 2. Sodimate, Inc.;
- 3. RDP Technologies, Inc.;
- 4. Mississippi State Department of Health;
- 5. Jim Craig;
- 6. Siemens Corporation;
- 7. Siemens Industry, Inc.

Trilogy reserves the right to amend its Affirmative Defenses to identify additional nonparties whose acts or omissions proximately caused Plaintiffs' damages, as discovery is ongoing which may reveal new information.

THIRTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Thirty-Second Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that if a Class is certified, any recovery by any Plaintiff who was not a minor during the time relevant events occurred, and by all Plaintiffs that are not natural persons, must be reduced to the extent they did not use reasonable efforts to mitigate their alleged damages.

Case 3:22-cv-00531-KHJ-MTP Document 60 Filed 06/29/23 Page 31 of 35

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

THIRTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Thirty-Third Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that if a Class is certified, then the class members' injuries and losses, if they have sustained any, were caused solely by persons or entities for whose conduct Trilogy bears no responsibility.

THIRTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Thirty-Fourth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that if a Class is certified, the class members' alleged injuries and losses were caused by the intervening superseding conduct of persons or entities over whose conduct Trilogy had not control and for whose Trilogy bears no responsibility.

THIRTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Thirty-Fifth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that if a Class is certified, the class members' claims against Trilogy fail because Trilogy had not duty to guard against the criminal conduct of others who contributed to cause the class members' alleged injuries and losses.

THIRTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Thirty-Sixth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that if a Class is certified, the class members' claims against Trilogy are barred, in whole or in part, by the learned or sophisticated intermediary doctrine.

THIRTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Thirty-Seventh Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that the measure of Plaintiffs' damages, if any, are based upon guesswork, speculation, and conjecture.

Case 3:22-cv-00531-KHJ-MTP Document 60 Filed 06/29/23 Page 32 of 35

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

THIRTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Thirty-Fifth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that if a Class is certified, the class members' claims against Trilogy fail because Trilogy had not duty to guard against the criminal conduct of others who contributed to cause the class members' alleged injuries and losses.

THIRTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Thirty-Sixth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that if a Class is certified, the class members' claims against Trilogy are barred, in whole or in part, by the learned or sophisticated intermediary doctrine.

THIRTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Thirty-Seventh Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that the type of claims Plaintiffs allege on their own behalf and on behalf of other similarly situated persons, the existence of who Trilogy expressly denies, are claims in which individual questions predominate and for which class treatment is not appropriate.

THIRTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Thirty-Eighth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that Plaintiffs' claims fail to meet the mandatory requirements of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) or 23(b).

THIRTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Thirty-Ninth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that class certification should be denied, because it is not impractical for individual plaintiffs to obtain redress.

FORTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Fortieth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that a class action is not the appropriate or superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy underlying the named Plaintiffs' claims.

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

FORTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Forty-First Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that Class certification should be denied, because the named Plaintiffs' claims, if meritorious, are not typical of the putative class(es).

FORTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Forty-Second Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that Class certification should be denied, because the named Plaintiffs' claims will not adequately protect the interests of the putative class(es).

FORTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Forty-Third Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that as an engineering firm providing professional engineering services, Trilogy is held to the standard of care of other professionals exercising ordinary professional skill and diligence. In order to establish an ordinary negligence claim against Trilogy, the Plaintiffs must set forth allegations not based on Trilogy's professional services. The Plaintiffs have failed to set forth any allegations supporting their claim for ordinary negligence against Trilogy, and their ordinary negligence claim should be dismissed under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6).

FORTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Forty-Fourth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the statute of limitations.

FORTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Forty-Fifth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that to the extent any Plaintiff brings suit as "guardian and next friend," said Plaintiff may lack capacity to do so under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 17(b) and (c). Under Mississippi law, "[a] person becomes a guardian for a

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

minor only on appointment by the Court." Miss. Code § 93-20-201(1). Under Rule 17(c), Plaintiffs may sue as "next friends" if the Court, in its discretion, determines that Plaintiffs will adequately protect the minors' interests. Any Plaintiff bringing suit as "guardian and next friend" must therefore be appointed by the Court, but Plaintiffs have not established that said appointment as guardians has been made.

FORTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As its Forty-Sixth Affirmative Defense, Trilogy states that it is entitled to sovereign immunity pursuant to Miss. Code Ann. § 11-46-3.

RESERVATION

Trilogy hereby reserves the right to amend this Answer and Affirmative Defenses to assert additional defenses identified in the course of its investigation, discovery, or preparation for trial, as such defenses become known and/or it otherwise becomes appropriate to assert such affirmative defenses.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, this the 29th day of June, 2023.

/s/ Davide Macelloni
Davide Macelloni, Esq.
Davide Macelloni, Esq.
Richard G. Daniels, Esq.
DANIELS, RODRIGUEZ, BERKELEY
DANIELS & CRUZ, P.A.
Attorneys for Trilogy Engineering Services,
LLC
4000 Ponce De Leon Blvd., Ste. 800
Coral Gables, FL 33146
(305) 448-7988

dmacelloni@drbdc-law.com

CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-531-KHJ-MTP

/s/ D. Jason Childress
D. Jason Childress (MSB No. 103678)
FLETCHER & SIPPEL LLC
Attorneys for Trilogy Engineering Services,
LLC
4400 Old Canton Road
Suite 220
Jackson, MS 39211-5982
P: 601-414-6010
jchildress@fletcher-sippel.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Davide Macelloni, one of the attorneys for Defendant Trilogy Engineering Services, LLC, hereby certify that I have this day served a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document by filing it using the ECF system which sent notice of such filing to all counsel of record.

SO CERTIFIED, this the 29th day of June, 2023.

/s/ Davide Macelloni
Davide Macelloni, Esq.